

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
FORT WORTH DIVISION

REESE HALLAK,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ALLSTATE VEHICLE AND PROPERTY  
INSURANCE COMPANY AND  
JOE DAVIS

Defendants.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CIVIL ACTION NO.

(JURY)

**DEFENDANT ALLSTATE VEHICLE AND PROPERTY INSURANCE COMPANY'S  
NOTICE OF REMOVAL AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

**TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT:**

Defendant Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company ("Allstate") files this Notice of Removal and Demand for Jury Trial of Cause Number 342-290642-17, styled *Reese Hallak v. Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company and Joe Davis*, currently pending in the 342<sup>nd</sup> District Court, Tarrant County, Texas. Allstate removes the case to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Fort Worth Division. As grounds for removal, Allstate states as follows:

**I.  
OVERVIEW**

1.1 This case involves a dispute over insurance benefits under a Homeowners policy of insurance issued by Allstate to Plaintiff Reese Hallak, for alleged storm and fire damage to Plaintiff's home on November 16, 2015, and October 5, 2016, respectively. (*See* Plaintiff's Petition). Plaintiff commenced this action, styled *Reese Hallak v. Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company and Joe Davis*, currently pending in the pending in the 342<sup>nd</sup> District Court,

Tarrant County, Texas, against Allstate by filing his Plaintiff's Original Petition on February 23, 2017 under Cause Number 342-290642-17. In Plaintiff's Original Petition, he seeks to recover damages from the Defendants in excess of \$100,000.00 but not over \$200,000.00. (*See* Plaintiffs' Petition, para. 5) Allstate was served with Plaintiff's Original Petition on February 27, 2017. Therefore, this Notice of Removal is timely filed under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b). A true and correct copy of all process, pleadings, and the orders served upon Allstate in the state court action are being filed with this Notice as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), and are attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

## **II. DIVERSITY JURISDICTION**

2.1 The District Courts of the United States have original jurisdiction over this action based on complete diversity of citizenship between the parties as contemplated by 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a). The Plaintiff is now, and was at the time the lawsuit was filed, a resident and citizen of the State of Texas. (*See* Plaintiffs' Petition, page 1). Defendant Allstate is an Illinois Corporation with its principal place of business in Illinois. Accordingly, Allstate is a citizen of the States of Illinois and complete diversity exists. An additional Defendant in the case is Joe Davis, a citizen of the State of Texas. His citizenship, however, is irrelevant as he has been improperly joined in this action.

There being complete diversity between the Plaintiff and Defendant Allstate, this case is properly removed to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Fort Worth Division.

2.2 The doctrine of improper joinder is an exception to the requirement of complete diversity and provides that a defendant may remove a case to a federal forum unless the in-state

defendants were properly joined. *See Smallwood v. Ill. Cent. R. Co.*, 385 F.3d 568, 573 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2004). Improper joinder is demonstrated where (1) there is actual fraud in the pleading of jurisdictional facts, or (2) the plaintiff is unable to establish a cause of action against the non-diverse party in state court. *See Travis v. Irby*, 325 F.3d 644, 646-47 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2003). In this case the Plaintiff is unable to establish a cause of action against Defendant Joe Davis, thereby rendering his joinder improper.

2.3 The Plaintiff's allegations against Defendant Joe Davis are generic and formulaic claims under the Texas Insurance Code and the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, and are unsupported by real facts. Such claims are in violation not only of the pleading requirements set forth in Rule 91a of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, but also the federal pleading requirements established by *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 554, 127 S.Ct. 1955, 1964-65, 167 L.Ed.2d 929, 940 (2007), and *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 129 S.Ct. 1937, 1945, 173 L.Ed.2d 868 (2009). The allegations against Defendant Joe Davis in this case set forth nothing more than bare legal conclusions together with a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action. These generic recitations on their face not only fail to state a viable cause of action under Texas law but also fail to evidence a plausible entitlement to relief to support the joinder of Defendant Joe Davis. As such, the allegations against Joe Davis exemplify the badge of improper joinder.

2.4 Policyholders in Texas have a long history of improperly joining insurance adjusters and agents as party-defendants in first-party suits against their insurance company to recover policy benefits in an effort to avoid removal of the case from state court to federal court. This is yet another example of one such case. The essence of this lawsuit is the Plaintiff's right of recovery under the insurance contract. Under such circumstances, Joe Davis has been

improperly joined. Because Defendant Joe Davis has been improperly joined, the claims against him should not only be disregarded for purposes of determining diversity, but should also be dismissed in their entirety as a matter of law.

### **III. REMOVAL PROPER**

3.1 This Court has original jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1) as an action wholly between citizens of different states with the matter in controversy exceeding the sum or value of \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs.

3.2 Under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a), the removed action is proper in this Court as the district and division embracing the place where the state court action is pending.

3.3 Defendant Allstate, the removing party, will promptly give the parties written notice of the filing of this Notice of Removal as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d). Allstate will promptly file a copy of this Notice of Removal with the clerk of the 342<sup>nd</sup> District Court, Tarrant County, Texas, where the action is currently pending, also pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).

3.4. Defendant hereby demands a trial by jury.

### **IV. EXHIBITS ACCOMPANYING REMOVAL**

4.1 In conjunction with filing this Notice of Removal, Defendant Allstate files the following documents as exhibits:

Exhibit “A” – Index/Documents filed in the 342<sup>nd</sup> District Court, Tarrant County, Texas

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company pursuant to these statutes and in conformance with the requirements set forth in 28 U.S.C. §1446, removes Cause Number 342-290642-17, styled *Reese Hallak v.*

*Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company and Joe Davis*, currently pending in the 342<sup>nd</sup> District Court, Tarrant County, Texas to this Court on the 17th day of March, 2017 for trial and determination.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/David G. Allen

David G. Allen  
State Bar No.: 00786972  
[allen@stacyconder.com](mailto:allen@stacyconder.com)  
Danah L. Woods  
State Bar No.: 24045259  
[woods@stacyconder.com](mailto:woods@stacyconder.com)

**STACY | CONDER | ALLEN LLP**  
901 Main Street, Suite 6200  
Dallas, Texas 75202  
(214) 748-5000  
(214) 748-1421 (fax)

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

On March 17, 2017, I electronically submitted the foregoing document with the clerk of court for the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, using the electronic case filing system of the court. I hereby certify that I have served all counsel of record electronically or by another manner authorized by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5(b)(2).

/s/David G. Allen

David G. Allen